

CINCINNATI, OH 45224

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. D. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/014,364	12/11/2001	Raul Victorino Nunes	8625	1135	
27752	7590 02/23/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY			PRATT, HELEN F		
	UAL PROPERTY DIVI LL TECHNICAL CENT		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE		1761			

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/014,364	NUNES ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Helen F. Pratt	1761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 December 2a) This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. 	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro		merits is
Disposition of Claims			· ·
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			. 1
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original of the correction of the original of the property of the example.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFF	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	Stage
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		152)

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

Applicants' Terminal Disclaimer has been received and approved.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barey (5,866,190) or Young et al. (2002/0160086 A1).

The claims are rejected for the reasons of record and for these further reasons.

Claim 1 requires particular degrees of dispersing the beverage component as in step C

1 of claim 1. However, Young et al. disclose that it is known to use low shear conditions for 20 minutes, which is sufficient to disperse and hydrate stabilizers, or to use 5 minutes under high shear conditions. Therefore, it would have been obvious to use a higher shear conditions as disclosed by Young et al. to further stabilize the composition. Nothing has been shown that the high shear conditions as in page 2, para. (0052) is not sufficient comparable to the degrees of dispersing claimed.

Claims 10-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the above references as applied to the above claims, and further in view of Mezzino et al (4,529,613).

The claims are rejected for the reasons of record cited in the last office action.

Art Unit: 1761

ARGUMENTS

Applicant's arguments filed 12-18-03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that there is no reason in either the process of Barey or Young et al. to stabilize high density materials such as opacifiers of the present invention. However, no opacifiers are seen except for in claim 10. It is noted that vitamins and minerals are used in the process of Young et al. and nothing is seen that the vitamins and minerals are not stabilized (page 2, para. 0025). Therefore, it is seen that there is motivation to modify the references since the use of vitamins and minerals are known in beverages using stabilizers and it is known to use high shear conditions to process the beverages, which would at the same time stabilize the vitamin and minerals in the composition.

Applicants argue that the reference to Young et al. use low shear conditions so that the mixture will not be denatured. However, as above high shear conditions can be used for less time, such as 5 minutes, which are within the claimed time.

Applicants argue that there is no reason to combine Mezzino with the above references because it is to a dry composition. However, the dry mix can be mixed with water to make a beverage. No particular shelf life is claimed as argued and the claims do not exclude a drying step.

Applicants argue that the reference to Barey or Young do not teach high density enhancer materials. However, as above, vitamin and minerals can be included in the

Art Unit: 1761

product of Young, and since the composition has been shown in Barey, nothing is seen that it would not have supported other enhancer materials.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Helen F. Pratt whose telephone number is 703-308-1978. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Milton Cano, can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Art Unit: 1761

Page 5

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HP 2-18-04

HELEN PRATT PRIMARY EXAMINER